GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Secrett. Dept.

P. W (1950) Department.

Endorsement
– D.O. –
Memorandum
Lodged

No. 2950 B/50-3

Dated 4-5-1950.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.

FOR MYSORE STATE

Electricity Department



From

The Chief Operation Engineer (North),
157, Mount Road, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

No.187-I/50-12 dated 10th April 1950.

Sir,

Sub: Electrical Accident at Hospet - suspension of a foreman - Payment of subsistence allowance - requested.

....

I have to state that on 18-12-49, while converting a single pole structure into a double pole one on the Tungabadra Dam site to Hospet feeder line, an electrical accident occured resulting in the death of two departmental employees engaged in the work. As a result of the preliminary enquiry into the accident the foreman in charge of the work, one Sri P.K. Panicker was placed under suspension from 29-12-49 and is still under suspension pending the result of enquiry ~~being taken up~~.

2. The foreman has petitioned for payment of subsistence allowance for the period of suspension. I request that payment of subsistence allowance to the foreman at the rates admissible

p.t.o.

for Provincial Government servants may be sanctioned for the period of suspension.

N. Venkataramana

sv.10-4-50. For Chief Operation Engineer (North).

3

P.W(B)

165
19/4

PC of T.M no 2950 B/50 — 18/8/50

From

The A.S. PWD

To the COE (N)

Sub:- Electrical Accident at Hospet — suspension of a foreman — Payment of subsistence allowance —

Ref:- Your letter no 187-E/50-12 dt 10.6.50

cf

It is presumed that the foreman referred to in your letter cited above, belongs to the ~~Operator~~ Subordinate Service of the

Jor

Electricity Deptt. This may please be confirmed if correct

18.4.50

18.4.50

DMN 19/4

PCDho

20/4/50

Note:- Please see the reference from the COE(N) 5th MP 1-24 and foreman refuses to ~~turn to~~ belong to the Operation Subordinate ~~Service~~ Service. This may be got ~~to~~ confirmed by a ref. to the COE(N). The draft T.M. above is for approval.

18.4.50

18.4.50

POST COPY OF TELEPHONIC MESSAGE DATED 25-4-1950.

From the Chief Operation Engineer (North), 157, Mount Rd., Madras.
To the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

--:--



Electrical Accident at Hospet - Suspension of a forman - Payment of subsistence allowance.

Ref:- Government Telephonic Message No.2950-B/50-1, dated 18-4-1950.

--:--

The foreman referred to in my letter No.187-I/50-12, dated 10-4-1950 belongs to the workcharged establishment of the construction branch of the Department.

N. Venkatan

For Chief Operation Engineer (North).

25/4

nbs.25/4.

43/5/5

7

P.W.(B)

Memo No 2950 B/50-3 dt 4.5.50

Sub:- Workcharged Estt -
Constn. Branch, Taly - Deptt -
Payment of Subsistence allowance to
Sri P.K. Panicker. Foreman - Negative
Ref:- From the Col(N) no 187-I/50-N
dt 10.4.50
From the Col(M) Pc of TH
dt 25.4.50

The Col(N) is informed that the members of the workcharged Estt. are not eligible for subsistence allowance during the period of their suspension. It is open to them to seek temporary employment elsewhere

NOTE FILE

P W(B)

Sub:- Workcharged E&H - Elec. Deptt. - Electrical accident at Hospet - Foreman, Sri P.K. Panicker - suspension - Payment of subsistence allowance.

pp1-2 ci — Ref:- from the CoE(N) no.187 I/50-12 dt 10.4.50

pp3-4 ci — PC of TH no 2950B/50-1 dt 18.4.50 /the C.D.E (N).

pp5 ci — from the COE(N) PC of TH dt 25.4.50

IOAS

p1 ci (partial) — pending enquiry into the electrical accident which occurred on 18.12.49 at Hospet which resulted in the death of two departmental employees, one Sri P.K. Panicker, foreman belonging to the workcharged estt. of the Construction Branch was ~~suspended~~ placed under suspension and is still under suspension pending enquiry. from 29.12.49 the foreman, it is stated, has ~~requested~~ petitioned for the payment of subsistence allowance. The CoE recommends ~~that~~ the grant of subsistence allowance to the foreman at the rates admissible for provincial Govt. servants, for the period of his suspension.

pp1-2 ci (para 2) — X

p5 ci — P

2. The foreman is borne on the workcharged estt of the Construction Branch of the Elec. Deptt. It appears that ~~the~~ provisions of F.R will not be applicable to the ~~workcharged~~ number of the workcharged estt. The recommendation of the CoE(N) at ‘x’ above may be accepted as a special case.

Sir may see for remarks.

28.4.50

29.4.50 Sir (COS)

PWD no 29508/50-2

29.4.50

In view of the position refered to at P above, it does not

2 B.

appear desirable on principle to allow any subsistence allowance to the foreman in question. Being a member of the work charged establishment, it is open to him to seek temporary employment elsewhere ~~etc etc~~ during the period of suspension and no special concession seems merited.

NC
1.5.50.

M 2157.

P.W.

Fin ws no 31279/GR/50-1
2.5.50.



P.W.(B)

To A

Please see the notes of Fin above. The suggestion of Fin at 'A' above may perhaps be accepted.

A draft GM to the CoE (SV) is submitted for approval 2/4.5.50

B 4.5.50

A. 3.5.50

issued as GM no 29/50 B/50-3 dt 4.5.50